

**Town Hall Meeting Room
3715 Bridgeport Way West**

- 7:30 pm **1. CALL REGULAR MEETING TO ORDER**
- 2. ROLL CALL AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIENCE**
- 7:35 pm **3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – September 2, 2014**
- 4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA**
- 7:40 pm **5. PRESENTATIONS**
 - Constitution Week Proclamation
 - 16th CAB Letter/Postcard Writing Campaign
- 7:50 pm **6. PUBLIC COMMENTS** - (At this time, citizens will be given an opportunity to address the Council on any items listed under the Consent Agenda and on any subject not scheduled for a Public Hearing or Council consideration. Comments or testimony related to a scheduled Public Hearing or Council consideration should be held until the Mayor calls for citizen comments during that time. State law prohibits the use of this forum to promote or oppose any candidate for public office, or ballot measure. Public comments are limited to three minutes. Please provide your name and address for the record.)
- 7:55 pm **7. COUNCIL COMMENTS/REPORTS**
- 8:00 pm **8. CITY MANAGER’S REPORT**
- 8:05 pm **9A. CONSENT AGENDA**
Motion: Approve or Amend the Consent Agenda as Proposed

The Consent Agenda consists of items considered routine or have been previously studied and discussed by Council and for which staff recommendation has been prepared. A Councilmember may request that an item be removed from the Consent Agenda so that the Council may consider the item separately. Items on the Consent Agenda are voted upon as one block and approved with one vote.

A. Receive and File: Payroll and Claims.
- COUNCIL CONSIDERATION** – (The following item(s) will require Council action.)
- 8:10 pm **10. COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICIES - ANNEXATION**
 - Staff Report
 - Public Comment
 - Council Consideration
- 8:20 pm **11. SUNSET PARK RESTROOM SEWER CONNECTION BID AWARD**
 - Staff Report
 - Public Comment
 - Council Consideration
- 8:35 pm **12. MAYOR’S REPORT**
- RECESS TO STUDY SESSION** - (At this time, Council will have the opportunity to study and discuss business issues with staff prior to its consideration. Citizen comment is not taken at this time; however, citizens will have the opportunity to comment on the following item(s) at future Council meetings.)
- 8:40 pm **13. U.S. OPEN BRANDING RESOLUTION**
- 10:00 pm **14. ADJOURNMENT**

*PRELIMINARY CITY COUNCIL AGENDA

October 4, 2014
Special Council Meeting

October 6, 2014
Regular Council Meeting

October 20, 2014
Regular Council Meeting

November 3, 2014
Regular Council Meeting

November 17, 2014
Regular Council Meeting

Preliminary City Council Agenda subject to change without notice*
Complete Agendas will be available 24 hours prior to scheduled meeting.
To obtain Council Agendas, please visit www.cityofup.com.

American Disability Act (ADA) Accommodations Provided Upon Advance Request
Call the City Clerk at 253-566-5656

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

**CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE
DRAFT MINUTES
Regular Meeting of the City Council
Tuesday, September 2, 2014
City Hall, Windmill Village**

1. CALL REGULAR MEETING TO ORDER – MAYOR

Mayor McCluskey called the Regular Meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Roll call was taken by the City Clerk as follows:

Councilmember Belleci	Present
Councilmember Grassi	Present
Councilmember Keel	Present
Councilmember Nye	Present
Councilmember Worthington	Present
Mayor Pro Tem Figueroa	Present
Mayor McCluskey	Present

Staff Present: City Manager Sugg, City Attorney Victor, Assistant Finance Director Blaisdell, Planning and Development Services Director Swindale and City Clerk Genetia.

Councilmember Belleci led the pledge of allegiance.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION: By Councilmember Belleci, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Figueroa, to approve the minutes of August 18, 2014 as submitted.

The motion carried.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION: By Councilmember Grassi, seconded by Councilmember Belleci, to approve the agenda.

The motion carried.

5. PRESENTATION

Prostate Cancer Awareness Month – Councilmember Keel presented a proclamation to Robert Freeborn, Chapter Leader of Tacoma Prostate Cancer Support Group, in support of public awareness on the symptoms, prevention, and treatment of prostate cancer.

6. PUBLIC COMMENT – The following individual made public comment: Morry Stafford, 6816 Chambers Creek Road West.

7. COUNCIL COMMENTS/REPORTS

Councilmember Belleci informed the Council and the public that the Tacoma-Pierce County Chamber published its 2014 Spotlight on Business Award finalists. Five of the thirty-five businesses recognized are University Place business owners. She congratulated the University Place businesses for their accomplishments. The Spotlight on Business Awards honors Chamber member businesses who have met the standard for exemplary business practices.

Councilmember Worthington shared the news of his son's marriage.

8. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

City Manager Sugg indicated that staff continues to actively work on and prepare for upcoming City construction projects slated for this fall and into the spring of 2015.

COUNCIL CONSIDERATION

9. BRIDGEPORT GRAVEL MINE PARCELS

Staff Report – City Attorney Victor provided background information on the rezone proposals of two parcels, one located at 67th Avenue and Bridgeport (Parcel A), and the other behind Fred Meyer on 67th Avenue (Parcel B). He informed Council that the property owner has indicated flexibility with respect to Parcel B, agreeable to having it rezoned as Low Density Multi Family instead of Neighborhood Commercial. City Attorney Victor presented the three legislative options the Council has on this issue:

- 1) To accept the owner's proposal to rezone Parcel A as Neighborhood Commercial with a full release of all mining rights, and a permanent covenant running with the land that Parcel A will never have a gas station or any 24-hour use, and rezone Parcel B as Low Density Multi Family.
- 2) To accept the Planning Commission's recommendation to rezone only Parcel A (the 67th and Bridgeport parcel) as Mixed Use Office, and Parcel B (on 67th behind Fred Meyer) as Residential.
- 3) To reject the Planning Commission's proposal and the owner's offer and leave parcels zoned R-2 and R-1 Residential.

Public Comment – The following individuals provided comments: Tom Connor, 6210 71st Street Avenue West; Mary Stafford, 6816 Chambers Creek Road West; Morry Stafford, 6816 Chambers Creek Road West; William Lynn; Debbie Klosowski, 6834 Chambers Creek Road West; and Wendy Xenos, 6820 Chambers Creek Road West.

Council Consideration - **MOTION:** By Councilmember Belleci, seconded by Mayor McCluskey, to reject the Planning Commission's proposal and the owner's offer and leave parcels zoned R-2 and R-1 Residential.

The motion failed 5 to 2. Councilmembers Keel, Worthington, Grassi, Nye and Mayor Pro Tem Figueroa voted no.

MOTION: By Mayor Pro Tem Figueroa, seconded by Councilmember Keel, to accept the owner's proposal to rezone Parcel A as Neighborhood Commercial with a full release of all mining rights, and a permanent covenant running with the land that Parcel A will never have a gas station or any 24-hour use, and rezone Parcel B as Low Density Multi-Family, passing an ordinance to complete the unfinished portion of the Council's 2013 update to Title 16, the Comprehensive Plan, and Title 19, the Zoning Code, of the University Place Municipal Code relating to the two parcels.

AMENDED MOTION: By Councilmember Grassi, seconded by Councilmember Keel, to add as a condition that buffers required by City code are irrigated and maintained in perpetuity.

The motion passed 4 to 3. Councilmembers Belleci, Nye and Mayor Pro Tem Figueroa voted no.

The main motion passed 4 to 3. Councilmembers Belleci, Grassi and Mayor McCluskey voted no. (ORDINANCE NO. 640)

10. MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor McCluskey reported on the following: City's letter to counter the potential draw down of the 16,000 soldiers; Protection Classification report rating of West Pierce Fire & Rescue; Cider Squeeze Event; UP for Arts fundraising event; Make a Difference Day event at Curran Orchard; and update on the 16th CAB Letter Writing campaign.

At 8:29 p.m., the Council concluded its business meeting and thereafter recessed to Study Session at 8:36 p.m. after a five minute break.

STUDY SESSION

11. WCIA WORKSHOP – COUNCIL DO'S AND DON'TS

The City Council participated in a training on risk management practices and procedures provided by Washington Cities Insurance Authority Executive Director Ann Bennett.

At 8:58 p.m., a motion was made and was carried to extend the meeting to 10:00 p.m.

12. COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICIES – ANNEXATION

Planning and Development Services Director Swindale presented the proposed amendments to the Pierce County Countywide Planning Policies that refine and add policies addressing annexation of unincorporated urban areas by adjacent cities and towns. The proposed amendments would: (1) Change existing urban service areas into "Potential Annexation Areas"; (2) Require jurisdictions to identify a Potential Annexation Area (PAA) within their Comprehensive Plan; (3) Require joint planning agreements; (4) Encourage resolution of existing overlaps and split of parcels prior to initial designation; (5) Discourage the creation of islands between cities and towns; and (6) Promote annexation of areas by encouraging joint planning agreements, limiting annexation to only those areas where a city or town has established PAA, establishing incentives to encourage annexation of unincorporated urban areas, exploring partnership in grant funding opportunities, encouraging mixed uses in PAAs, and identifying islands as the County's highest priority for annexations.

The Pierce County Regional Council, based on the recommendation from the Growth Management Coordinating Council (GMCC), recommended approval of the proposal at its October 17, 2013 meeting. The Pierce County Council approved the amendments on June 24, 2014. The amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies must be ratified by sixty percent of the jurisdictions in Pierce County representing seventy-five percent of its total population.

13. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:00 p.m. No other action was taken.

Submitted by,

Emy Genetia
City Clerk

CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, September 17, 2014 marks the two hundred twenty-seventh anniversary of the drafting of the Constitution of the United States of America by the Constitutional Convention; and

WHEREAS, it is fitting and proper to accord official recognition to this magnificent document and its memorable anniversary; and to the patriotic celebrations which will commemorate the occasion; and

WHEREAS, Public Law 915 guarantees the issuing of a proclamation each year by the President of the United States of America designating September 17 through 23 as Constitution Week.

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of University Place does hereby proclaim the week of September 17 through 23 as

CONSTITUTION WEEK

and ask our citizens to reaffirm the ideals of the Framers of the constitution had in 1787 by vigilantly protecting the freedoms guaranteed to us through this guardian of our liberties, remembering that lost rights may never be regained.

PROCLAIMED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE, WASHINGTON, ON SEPTEMBER 15, 2014.

Denise McCluskey, Mayor

ATTEST:

Emy Genetia, City Clerk

CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, the 16th Combat Aviation Brigade (CAB) serves as representative of the thousands of courageous men and women who went on dangerous missions in defense of our freedom; and

WHEREAS, in the course of performing their duties, these soldiers have been away from home, missing milestones important to their own and their families' lives, and the life of the community; and

WHEREAS, every military service member who has been involved in this war has exemplified their commitment to the security of our nation by completing assigned missions during their deployment; and

WHEREAS, the City of University Place has been connected with the 16th CAB since 2011 and both organizations have formed a strong bond over the years; and

WHEREAS, the 16th CAB deployed to Afghanistan in April and are not expected to return until January 2015; and

WHEREAS, to show our heartfelt appreciation for their contributions and sacrifices, the City has embarked on a letter writing campaign project for the 16th CAB with the help of Peace Out, led by Omar Noman, Netta Nuhman and many other volunteers; and

WHEREAS, with their leadership and dedication, the City was able to gather 2,200 letters and postcards for the 16th CAB.

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of University Place wishes to thank Peace Out, Omar Noman and Netta Nuhman for their leadership and dedicated efforts for organizing the 16th CAB letter writing campaign project.

***PROCLAIMED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE
ON SEPTEMBER 15, 2014.***

Denise McCluskey, Mayor

ATTEST:

Emy Genetia, CMC, City Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE WOULD LIKE TO
EXPRESS ITS APPRECIATION TO

DIANE RASCH

FOR HER PARTICIPATION IN THE 16TH CAB'S LETTER/POSTCARD WRITING
CAMPAIGN PROJECT AND FOR HER DEDICATED EFFORTS TOWARD
DEVELOPING A SENSE OF COMMUNITY SPIRIT THROUGH HER SERVICE.

DENISE MCCLUSKEY, MAYOR

DATED: SEPTEMBER 15, 2014



CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE WOULD LIKE TO
EXPRESS ITS APPRECIATION TO

GLORIA KRAEGEL

FOR HER PARTICIPATION IN THE 16TH CAB'S LETTER/POSTCARD WRITING
CAMPAIGN PROJECT AND FOR HER DEDICATED EFFORTS TOWARD
DEVELOPING A SENSE OF COMMUNITY SPIRIT THROUGH HER SERVICE.

DENISE MCCLUSKEY, MAYOR

DATED: SEPTEMBER 15, 2014



CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE WOULD LIKE TO
EXPRESS ITS APPRECIATION TO

KIM THOMPSON

FOR HER PARTICIPATION IN THE 16TH CAB'S LETTER/POSTCARD WRITING
CAMPAIGN PROJECT AND FOR HER DEDICATED EFFORTS TOWARD
DEVELOPING A SENSE OF COMMUNITY SPIRIT THROUGH HER SERVICE.

DENISE McCLUSKEY, MAYOR

DATED: SEPTEMBER 15, 2014



CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE WOULD LIKE TO EXPRESS ITS APPRECIATION TO

NETTA NUHMAN

FOR ORGANIZING THE 16TH CAB'S LETTER/POSTCARD WRITING CAMPAIGN PROJECT AND FOR HER DISTINGUISHED LEADERSHIP AND DEDICATED EFFORTS TOWARD DEVELOPING A SENSE OF COMMUNITY SPIRIT THROUGH HER SERVICE. THE CITY WAS ABLE TO GATHER 2,200 LETTERS AND POSTCARDS FOR THE 16TH CAB.

DENISE MCCLUSKEY, MAYOR

DATED: SEPTEMBER 15, 2014



CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE WOULD LIKE TO EXPRESS ITS APPRECIATION TO

OMAR NOMAN

FOR ORGANIZING THE 16TH CAB'S LETTER/POSTCARD WRITING CAMPAIGN PROJECT AND FOR HIS DISTINGUISHED LEADERSHIP AND DEDICATED EFFORTS TOWARD DEVELOPING A SENSE OF COMMUNITY SPIRIT THROUGH HIS SERVICE. THE CITY WAS ABLE TO GATHER 2,200 LETTERS AND POSTCARDS FOR THE 16TH CAB.

DENISE MCCLUSKEY, MAYOR

DATED: SEPTEMBER 15, 2014



CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE WOULD LIKE TO
EXPRESS ITS APPRECIATION TO

PAUL BISHOP

FOR HIS PARTICIPATION IN THE 16TH CAB'S LETTER/POSTCARD WRITING
CAMPAIGN PROJECT AND FOR HIS DEDICATED EFFORTS TOWARD
DEVELOPING A SENSE OF COMMUNITY SPIRIT THROUGH HIS SERVICE.

DENISE McCLUSKEY, MAYOR

DATED: SEPTEMBER 15, 2014



CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE WOULD LIKE TO
EXPRESS ITS APPRECIATION TO

PENNY CONDOLL

FOR HER PARTICIPATION IN THE 16TH CAB'S LETTER/POSTCARD WRITING
CAMPAIGN PROJECT AND FOR HER DEDICATED EFFORTS TOWARD
DEVELOPING A SENSE OF COMMUNITY SPIRIT THROUGH HER SERVICE.

DENISE MCCLUSKEY, MAYOR

DATED: SEPTEMBER 15, 2014



APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

Control No.: 5	Agenda of: 09/15/14	PREPAY
-----------------------	----------------------------	---------------

Claim of: Payroll for Pay Period Ending 08/31/14

Check #	Date	Amount	Check #	Date	Amount
318112	09/05/14	129.29	317653	09/05/14	611.54
318113	09/05/14	620.83	317654	09/05/14	1,044.18
318114	09/05/14	681.73	317655	09/05/14	368.20
				09/05/14	108,133.64
				EMPLOYEE NET	111,589.41
					DIRECT DEPOSIT
318118	09/05/14	17,341.85	- 106006, VANTAGEPOINT TRANSF		
318119	09/05/14	3,675.83	- 106006 LOAN, VANTAGEPOINT		
318120	09/05/14	5,010.11	- 304197, VANTAGEPOINT TRANSF		
318121	09/05/14	300.00	- 705544, VANTAGEPOINT TRANSF		
318122	09/05/14	3,848.00	- 800263, VANTAGEPOINT TRANSF		
318123	09/05/14	440.70	- 304197 LOAN, VANTAGEPOINT TR		
318124	09/05/14	1,885.00	HOWE TRUSTEE, DAVID M.		
318125	09/05/14	294.13	IUOE LOCAL 612		
318126	09/05/14	5,601.39	IUOE LOCALS 302/612 TRUST FUND		
318127	09/05/14	250.00	NATIONWIDE RETIREMENT SOLUTION		
318128	09/05/14	8.75	PACIFIC SOURCE ADMINISTRATORS		
318129	09/05/14	971.67	PACIFIC SOURCE ADMINISTRATORS		
318130	09/05/14	2,128.96	UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY		
318131	09/05/14	727.85	UNUM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY		
WIRE	09/05/14	62,626.42	AWC EMPLOYEE BENEFIT TRUST		
WIRE	09/05/14	22,772.71	BANK OF AMERICA		
WIRE	09/05/14	22,078.50	WA STATE DEPT OF RETIREMENT SY		
WIRE	09/05/14	117.35	AFLAC INSURANCE		
WIRE	09/05/14	845.30	WA ST DEPT OF RETIREMENT SYS		
			BENEFIT/DEDUCTION AMOUNT		150,924.52
			TOTAL AMOUNT		262,513.93

Preparer Certification:

I, the undersigned, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the materials have been furnished, the services rendered or the labor performed as described herein and that the claim is a just, due and unpaid obligation against the above-named governmental unit, and that I am authorized to authenticate and certify to said claim.

Signed: (Signature on file.)

Date (08/28/14)

Steve Sugg, City Manager

FINAL CHECK LISTING
CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE

Check Date: 08/29/14

Check Range: 50993 -51075

Claims Approval

I, the undersigned, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the materials have been furnished, the services rendered or the labor performed as described herein, that any advance payment is due and payable pursuant to a contract or is available as an option for full or partial fulfillment of a contractual obligation, and that the claim is a just, due and unpaid obligation against the City of University Place, and that I am authorized to authenticate and certify to said claim.

I also certify that the following list of checks were issued to replace previously issued checks that have not been presented to the bank for payment. The check was returned due to an incorrect mailing address. The original check was voided and a replacement check issued.

Vendor Name

Replacement Check #

Original Check #

Auditing Officer: (Signature on file.)

Date: (08/28/14)

Bank : bofa BANK OF AMERICA

Check #	Date	Vendor	Invoice	Inv Date	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
50993	8/13/2014	022207 CRAIG, MARIZA	AUG14/WCMACO	8/12/2014	PER DIEM & MILEAGE/WCMA CC	241.06	241.06
		Voucher: 37450					
50994	8/13/2014	001058 ICMA RC RETIREMENT TRUST	081314	8/13/2014	LOAN REPAYMENT/T BIBBY	1,331.91	1,331.91
		Voucher: 37466					
50995	8/13/2014	025336 US BANK	745000006	8/1/2014	CUSTOMER #745000006/JUL14/M	22.00	22.00
		Voucher: 37505					
50996	8/13/2014	025336 US BANK	3738726	7/25/2014	TAX REVENUE BOND/TRUSTEE I	2,000.00	2,000.00
		Voucher: 37504					
50997	8/29/2014	001171 AMERICAN PLANNING ASSOCIAT	092994-1454	6/17/2014	ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP DUES/J E	411.00	411.00
		Voucher: 37429					
50998	8/29/2014	001818 APEX ENGINEERING PLLC	201451035	8/13/2014	SURVEYING & ENGINEERING CC	767.50	767.50
		Voucher: 37430					
50999	8/29/2014	025666 APPAREL BY BEE	10080	8/13/2014	ART CAMP T'S	328.20	328.20
		Voucher: 37431					
51000	8/29/2014	022368 BARRETT, SALLY	REIMB	8/21/2014	REIMB/GLOVES & FLAGGING/CC	35.47	35.47
		Voucher: 37432					
51001	8/29/2014	022647 BATTERIES PLUS	245-332182	8/19/2014	12V BATTERIES/PW SHOP	218.78	218.78
		Voucher: 37433					
51002	8/29/2014	021643 BLAISDELL, LESLIE	SEP14/WFOA	5/21/2014	PER DIEM/WFOA CONF/YAKIMA,	44.00	44.00
		Voucher: 37434					
51003	8/29/2014	022628 BRISKE, KEVIN	URBANRETAIL/RE	7/25/2014	RECONCILIATION/URBAN RETAIL	204.61	204.61
		Voucher: 37435					
51004	8/29/2014	001187 BUNCE RENTAL, INC.	104602-5	8/5/2014	CHAIR & TABLE RENTAL/SUNFES	863.38	863.38
		Voucher: 37436					
51005	8/29/2014	025573 CANON FINANCIAL SERVICES	14083456	8/13/2014	AUG14/LEASE/IRC5255	311.67	311.67
		Voucher: 37437					
51006	8/29/2014	021821 CASCADE RECREATION INC.	6412	8/8/2014	FIBAR ENGINEERED WOOD FIBE	2,097.20	2,097.20
		Voucher: 37438					
51007	8/29/2014	001152 CENTURYLINK	253-564-1992	8/11/2014	PHONE/SR CENTER	250.43	
		Voucher: 37439	253-566-9558	8/14/2014	PHONE/PW PUMP CALLOUT LINI	37.66	288.09
51008	8/29/2014	025547 CHRISTENSEN, SHARON	REFUND	8/18/2014	REFUND/DEPOSITS/TOWN HALL	400.00	400.00
		Voucher: 37440					
51009	8/29/2014	003056 CITY OF LAKEWOOD	MC-00010	8/19/2014	JUL14/IN CUSTODY COURT TRAI	770.00	770.00
		Voucher: 37441					

Bank : bofa BANK OF AMERICA

(Continued)

Check #	Date	Vendor	Invoice	Inv Date	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
51011	8/29/2014	001024 CITY TREASURER	100668537	8/12/2014	WATER/ 7150 CIRQUE DR W	8,061.59	
	Voucher:	37442	100664578	8/8/2014	WATER/ 5300 GRANDVIEW DR W	1,602.17	
			100664580	8/8/2014	WATER/ 6000 GRANDVIEW DR W	1,505.46	
			100263915	8/12/2014	WATER & POWER/ 7250 CIRQUE	1,374.90	
		Void Check #51010 City Treasurer Check Stub	100781041	8/13/2014	WATER/ 4600 BECKONRIDGE DR	883.79	
		Overrun	100358203	8/12/2014	POWER/ 7150 CIRQUE DR W	819.48	
			100668520	8/18/2014	WATER/ 4200 GRANDVIEW DR W	733.41	
			100611293	8/12/2014	WATER/ 5200 BRIDGEPORT WAY	619.26	
			100673072	8/11/2014	WATER/ 8300 40TH ST W	430.71	
			100668517	8/15/2014	WATER/ 4300 BRIDGEPORT WAY	420.16	
			100083325	8/18/2014	POWER/ 4910 BRISTONWOOD D	336.88	
			100668524	8/7/2014	WATER/ 4999 ALAMEDA AVE W	332.98	
			100333844	8/18/2014	WATER/ 4951 GRANDVIEW DR W	307.00	
			100077160	8/19/2014	POWER/ 5202 67TH AVE W	239.01	
			100775637	8/12/2014	POWER/ 7001 CIRQUE DR W	191.58	
			100172057	8/18/2014	POWER & WATER/ 3920 GRAND	183.12	
			100668502	8/12/2014	WATER/ 7820 CIRQUE DR W	170.73	
			100081728	8/11/2014	POWER/ 6701 BRIDGEPORT WA	161.65	
			100386367	8/22/2014	POWER/ 7223 40TH ST W	152.62	
			100094683	8/18/2014	POWER/ 4758 BRISTONWOOD D	94.45	
			100324281	8/12/2014	POWER/ 7820 CIRQUE DR W	86.51	
			100798512	8/19/2014	POWER/ 4402 97TH AVE W	85.49	
			100679491	8/11/2014	POWER/ 8002 40TH ST W	84.81	
			100101783	8/8/2014	POWER/ 5520 GRANDVIEW DR V	74.96	
			100346073	8/12/2014	WATER/ 7250 CIRQUE DR W	74.74	
			100089560	8/12/2014	POWER/ 4317 GRANDVIEW DR V	47.23	
			100083115	8/22/2014	POWER/ 4000 67TH AVE W	46.54	
			100357178	8/11/2014	POWER/ 2620 BRIDGEPORT WA	45.77	
			100185134	8/20/2014	POWER/ 4401 67TH AVE W	41.68	
			100165190	8/22/2014	POWER/ 3761 BRIDGEPORT WA	37.90	
			100668522	8/5/2014	WATER/8902 CHAMBERS CR RD	37.37	
			100089578	8/12/2014	POWER/ 4116 GRANDVIEW DR V	35.42	
			100080586	8/18/2014	POWER/ 4951 GRANDVIEW DR V	32.76	
			100131881	8/19/2014	POWER/ 4253 97TH AVE W	32.39	
			100089528	8/12/2014	POWER/ 3912 GRANDVIEW DR V	29.52	

Bank : bofa BANK OF AMERICA

(Continued)

Check #	Date	Vendor	Invoice	Inv Date	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
			100344745	8/12/2014	POWER/ 6810 CIRQUE DR W	27.67	
			100089555	8/12/2014	POWER/ 4526 GRANDVIEW DR V	23.61	
			100057075	8/12/2014	POWER/ 4100 GRANDVIEW DR V	22.86	
			100256491	8/12/2014	POWER/ 7250 CIRQUE DR W	19.45	
			100089583	8/12/2014	POWER/ 4016 GRANDVIEW DR V	17.71	
			100089550	8/12/2014	POWER/ 4704 GRANDVIEW DR V	17.71	
			100077151	8/12/2014	POWER/ 4000 OLYMPIC BLVD W	9.76	
			100109710	8/11/2014	POWER/ 8902 40TH ST W	8.85	
			100072286	8/12/2014	POWER/ 8501 40TH ST W	8.29	
			100072254	8/12/2014	POWER/ 8417 40TH ST W	8.29	
			100072268	8/12/2014	POWER/ 8901 40TH ST W	8.29	
			100077140	8/12/2014	POWER/ 2900 GRANDVIEW DR V	8.29	19,594.82
51012	8/29/2014	002171 CITY TREASURER	300014881	8/18/2014	REPAIRS & MAINT/PW FLEET VE	4,268.14	4,268.14
		Voucher: 37443					
51013	8/29/2014	025161 CITY TREASURER	814	7/28/2014	AUG14/UPTV CHANNEL GUIDE L	92.70	
		Voucher: 37444	714	7/28/2014	JUL14/UPTV CHANNEL GUIDE LI	92.70	185.40
51014	8/29/2014	025161 CITY TREASURER	130297	8/7/2014	DTA RECEIVERS/CITY HALL	12.21	
		Voucher: 37445	129335	8/7/2014	DTA RECEIVERS/SR CENTER	8.27	20.48
51015	8/29/2014	024565 COMCAST	849835010094436	8/10/2014	AUG19-SEP18/INTERNET/PW SH	137.56	
		Voucher: 37446	849835010094487	8/15/2014	AUG25-SEP24/ INTERNET/CITY F	130.79	
			849835010094441	8/21/2014	AUG19-SEP18/ INTERNET/SR CE	97.56	
			849835010073571	8/10/2014	MODEMS/REMOTE SURVEILLAN	80.84	
			849835010073570	8/10/2014	MODEMS/REMOTE SURVEILLAN	80.84	527.59
51016	8/29/2014	023782 COMPLETE OFFICE SOLUTIONS,	1115592-0	8/12/2014	FILE FOLDERS/DEV SERVICES C	159.77	
		Voucher: 37447	1111726-0	8/4/2014	ORGANIZER/24 COMP/CLERKS C	141.78	
			1113956-0	8/11/2014	TONER CARTRIDGE	108.28	
			1116046-0	8/13/2014	TONER CARTRIDGE	76.08	
			1115585-0	8/12/2014	TONER CARTRIDGE	76.08	
			1114554-0	8/8/2014	TAPE & POCKET FILES/ED OFFIC	48.10	
			1112928-0	8/5/2014	KLEENEX & LABELS/ENGINEERII	38.75	
			C1113956-0	8/14/2014	RETURN/TONER CARTRIDGE	-108.28	540.56
51017	8/29/2014	002066 CONSOLIDATED ELECTR.DIST.C(8541-773730		8/19/2014	FLUORESCENT BULBS/POLICE/C	158.78	158.78
		Voucher: 37448					

Bank : bofa BANK OF AMERICA

(Continued)

Check #	Date	Vendor	Invoice	Inv Date	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
51018	8/29/2014	024347	COPIERS NORTHWEST, INC.	INV1080918	8/25/2014	MAY22-AUG21/OVERAGE CHARG	175.36
	Voucher:	37449		INV1080917	8/25/2014	AUG22-SEP21/LEASE PAYMENT/	105.46
				INV1077754	8/15/2014	JUL14-AUG13/OVERAGE CHARG	90.62
				INV1076230	8/12/2014	JUL9-AUG8/OVERAGE CHARGES	55.15
				INV1076231	8/12/2014	AUG11-SEP10/LEASE PAYMENT/	32.31
				INV1076232	8/12/2014	JUL11-AUG10/OVERAGE CHARG	17.18
							476.08
51019	8/29/2014	022207	CRAIG, MARIZA	URBANRETAIL/RE	7/28/2014	RECONCILIATION/URBAN RETAIL	32.00
	Voucher:	37451					32.00
51020	8/29/2014	021631	DANCE THEATRE NORTHWEST	REFUND	8/18/2014	REFUND/DEPOSIT/ATRIUM REN	250.00
	Voucher:	37452					250.00
51021	8/29/2014	022860	DAWSON, CHET	081914	8/19/2014	TOPCAT TENNIS & TEAM TRAINI	2,362.50
	Voucher:	37453					2,362.50
51022	8/29/2014	003099	DIAMOND COMMUNICATIONS, IN	14-429	8/4/2014	PROVIDE & INSTALL 16 CAT6 CA	5,751.16
	Voucher:	37454		14-436	8/11/2014	MOVE AND INSTALL PORTS	227.55
							5,978.71
51023	8/29/2014	002431	DIANE DEMARS	AUG14	8/22/2014	AUG14/YOGA/COURSE #8546, #8	766.40
	Voucher:	37455					766.40
51024	8/29/2014	003090	DLB EARTHWORK, CORP	RETAINAGE	8/18/2014	RETAINAGE RELEASE/BECKONF	35,955.05
	Voucher:	37456					35,955.05
51025	8/29/2014	001737	DON SMALL & SONS OIL DIST	CC60870	8/7/2014	BULK FUEL/PW SHOP	3,366.03
	Voucher:	37457					3,366.03
51026	8/29/2014	023065	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BOA	IUS OPEN/CITY OI	8/12/2014	US OPEN/EDB TENT PACKAGE	8,606.00
	Voucher:	37458					8,606.00
51027	8/29/2014	023187	EILTS & CO., PS	140703	7/31/2014	CASEWARE CONSULTING/FINAN	4,320.00
	Voucher:	37459					4,320.00
51028	8/29/2014	002198	FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSUR.	C865-426946261	6/20/2014	TITLE PROCESSING/1912-1914 E	570.72
	Voucher:	37460		865-426946262	6/20/2014	TITLE PROCESSING/2110 BP WA	329.82
				865-426946257	6/20/2014	TITLE PROCESSING/7417 22ND S	256.82
				865-426946264	6/20/2014	TITLE PROCESSING/2603 BP WA	193.82
				865-426946260	6/20/2014	TITLE PROCESSING/1918-1920 E	187.82
				865-426946259	6/14/2014	TITLE PROCESSING/7502 23RD S	183.82
				865-426946258	6/20/2014	TITLE PROCESSING/2105 BP WA	183.82
				865-426946263	6/20/2014	TITLE PROCESSING/2305 BP WA	183.82
							2,090.46
51029	8/29/2014	002568	FIRST STUDENT	229-C-059681	8/21/2014	BUS/CAMP UPLAY FIELD TRIPS	3,118.00
	Voucher:	37461					3,118.00

Bank : bofa BANK OF AMERICA

(Continued)

Check #	Date	Vendor	Invoice	Inv Date	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total	
51030	8/29/2014	002918	GARRETT, SANDY	SEP14/WFOA	5/21/2014	PER DIEM/WFOA CONF/YAKIMA,	44.00	44.00
		Voucher:	37462					
51031	8/29/2014	001212	GRAY & OSBORNE INC	14464.06-4	7/22/2014	ENGINEERING SVCS/37TH ST W	5,619.10	5,619.10
		Voucher:	37463					
51032	8/29/2014	001406	GUARDIAN SECURITY GROUP IN65540		8/18/2014	MISC KEYS & ACCESSORIES/PAI	57.26	57.26
		Voucher:	37464					
51033	8/29/2014	001221	HOLROYD COMPANY, INC.	254862	8/13/2014	INBOUND CONCRETE	35.00	35.00
		Voucher:	37465					
51034	8/29/2014	025597	J&I POWER EQUIPMENT INC	220038	8/14/2014	EDGER BLADE/PW SHOP	18.21	18.21
		Voucher:	37467					
51035	8/29/2014	021616	KELLEY IMAGING SYSTEMS	15660778	7/31/2014	LEASE/SHARP MX-5111N COPIER	1,503.78	1,503.78
		Voucher:	37468					
51036	8/29/2014	023289	KIDZ LOVE SOCCER	2014SU-F86	8/22/2014	SUMMER 2014/SOCCER INSTRU	4,230.00	4,230.00
		Voucher:	37469					
51037	8/29/2014	001072	KLOSOWSKI, DEBBIE	REIMB	8/14/2014	REIMB/NAMETAGS/CORE	6.56	6.56
		Voucher:	37470					
51038	8/29/2014	001797	LOWE'S BUSINESS ACCOUNT/GE874-3507-017634-		8/17/2014	MISC REPAIR & MAINTENANCE S	761.60	761.60
		Voucher:	37471					
51039	8/29/2014	003072	MARIAN HOLLOWAY	URBANRETAIL/RE	7/29/2014	RECONCILIATION/URBAN RETAIL	36.00	36.00
		Voucher:	37472					
51040	8/29/2014	001258	MCCARTHY & CAUSSEAU	212	7/31/2014	JUL14/HEARINGS EXAMINER SE	555.69	555.69
		Voucher:	37473					
51041	8/29/2014	022632	MODERN BUILDERS INC.	14059	8/7/2014	RECONSTRUCTION/KOBAYASHI	143,743.90	143,743.90
		Voucher:	37474					
51042	8/29/2014	001095	NEWS TRIBUNE	I01147071-071220	7/12/2014	LEGAL NOTICE/SEPA 2014-07	215.70	215.70
		Voucher:	37475					
51043	8/29/2014	001095	NEWS TRIBUNE	I01091997-071820	7/18/2014	AD/CURRAN ORCHARD CONCEP	174.24	174.24
		Voucher:	37476					
51044	8/29/2014	001096	NORTHWEST CASCADE, INC.	1-989432	8/6/2014	PORTA POTTY RENTAL/SUNSET	72.00	
		Voucher:	37477	1-989433	8/6/2014	PORTA POTTY RENTAL/CURRAN	72.00	
				1-987231	8/5/2014	PORTA POTTY RENTAL/SKATE P	72.00	
				1-989434	8/6/2014	PORTA POTTY RENTALS/KOBAY/	52.00	
				1-991366	8/8/2014	PORTA POTTY RENTAL/CURRAN	52.00	320.00
51045	8/29/2014	025723	NRC ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE	609973	8/14/2014	REMOVAL & DISPOSAL/DERELIC	2,524.68	2,524.68
		Voucher:	37478					

Bank : bofa BANK OF AMERICA

(Continued)

Check #	Date	Vendor	Invoice	Inv Date	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total
51046	8/29/2014	003178	OWENS PRESS, INC.	26042	8/18/2014	#10 REGULAR ENVELOPES	1,334.68
	Voucher:	37479		26047	8/20/2014	BUSINESS CARDS/PUBLIC SAFE	377.43
51047	8/29/2014	001109	PIERCE COUNTY BUDGET & FIN/AR161812		8/13/2014	AUG14/JAIL SERVICES	277,453.47
	Voucher:	37480		AR162042	8/19/2014	JUL14/TRAFFIC SERVICES	26,723.66
				AR161494	8/6/2014	JUN14/ROAD & TRAFFIC SERVIC	17,858.82
				AR161805	8/12/2014	JUL14/ JAIL SERVICES	12,147.00
				AR162103	8/22/2014	JUL14/ANIMAL CONTROL & SHEI	8,681.36
				AR161643	8/7/2014	JUL14/I-NET CHARGES/AIR UPT\	253.00
51048	8/29/2014	024698	PIERCE COUNTY SECURITY, INC.278319		7/17/2014	#9993/JUN14/SECURITY/CIVIC BI	5,925.30
	Voucher:	37481		279418	8/5/2014	#9205/JUL14/SECURITY/CIRQUE	150.00
51049	8/29/2014	001114	PITNEY BOWES GLOBAL FIN. SV(SEP14		8/22/2014	SEP14/ACCT19533470/POSTAGE	1,500.00
	Voucher:	37482					1,500.00
51050	8/29/2014	025722	PROSTOCK ATHLETIC	BBL003790-BZ04	8/12/2014	BASKETBALL EQUIPMENT	1,686.40
	Voucher:	37483		BBL003790-BL02	8/11/2014	SUPPLIES/BASKETBALL	1,675.61
				BBL003790-BZ03	8/12/2014	CREDIT MEMO/ORIGNAL INV BBI	-1,675.61
51051	8/29/2014	001295	RANDLES SAND & GRAVEL INC	365836	8/20/2014	EXCAVATOR/LOADED ROCK/KOE	64.63
	Voucher:	37484					64.63
51052	8/29/2014	023866	RUSTY GEORGE CREATIVE	5858	6/30/2014	2015 US OPEN CONCEPT & DES	1,950.00
	Voucher:	37485					1,950.00
51053	8/29/2014	001328	SHELL FLEET CARD SERVICES	8147100120408	8/6/2014	81-471-0012-0/SHELL	45.59
	Voucher:	37486					45.59
51054	8/29/2014	024434	SOLARWINDS, INC.	IN180588	7/28/2014	ENGINEER'S TOOLSET - ANNUAI	1,570.00
	Voucher:	37487					1,570.00
51055	8/29/2014	025732	SOUND DELI PROVISIONS LLC	602567747	8/25/2014	REFUND/BUSINESS LICENSE~	50.00
	Voucher:	37488					50.00
51056	8/29/2014	002184	SPRAY CENTER ELECTRONIC IN228324		8/18/2014	MISC REPAIR PARTS/PW SHOP	135.76
	Voucher:	37489					135.76
51057	8/29/2014	024901	STERN-WILLIAMS CO., INC.	161975	8/12/2014	REGULATORS & RUBBER WASH	274.89
	Voucher:	37490					274.89
51058	8/29/2014	002613	SUPERIOR LINEN SERVICE,INC.	13567	8/13/2014	OFFICE MAT RENTAL/PW SHOP	79.97
	Voucher:	37491					79.97
51059	8/29/2014	025595	TACOMA REGIONAL CVB	PTNR2014-123	7/31/2014	JUL14-JUN15/TOURISM PARTNEI	395.00
	Voucher:	37492					395.00
51060	8/29/2014	001496	TACOMA RUBBER STAMP, INC.	I-531435-1	8/19/2014	PLASTIC MATERIAL/SQ INCH/CO	71.10
	Voucher:	37493					71.10

Bank : bofa BANK OF AMERICA

(Continued)

Check #	Date	Vendor	Invoice	Inv Date	Description	Amount Paid	Check Total	
51061	8/29/2014	025311	TACOMA WINSUPPLY, INC.	013813-00	8/12/2014	MISC IRRIGATION REPAIR PARTS	121.44	121.44
		Voucher: 37494						
51062	8/29/2014	025731	THE FIRST TEE	081414	8/14/2014	GOLF INSTRUCTION/CAMP UPLA	480.00	480.00
		Voucher: 37495						
51063	8/29/2014	002823	THOMPSON ELECTRICAL CONST	0814-6263CV	8/11/2014	JUN9-JUN25/REPAIR & MAINT/ST	5,453.27	
		Voucher: 37496		0814-6263-2	8/11/2014	JUL14-JUL21/REPAIR & MAINT/S	5,219.94	10,673.21
51064	8/29/2014	001636	THOMSON REUTERS - WEST	830040439	8/1/2014	JUL14/WEST INFORMATION CHA	615.57	615.57
		Voucher: 37497						
51065	8/29/2014	023720	THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR COF	6000078563	7/1/2014	LABOR & MATERIALS/WIRE 3RD	1,726.33	1,726.33
		Voucher: 37498						
51066	8/29/2014	025618	TRUGREEN LANDCARE	7743832	8/31/2014	MAY14/LANDSCAPE MAINTENAN	10,604.16	
		Voucher: 37499		7736226	6/1/2014	JUN14/LANDSCAPE MAINTENAN	10,604.16	
				7787099	7/30/2014	JUL14/LANDSCAPE MAINTENAN	10,604.16	31,812.48
51067	8/29/2014	025730	TSUN, WESLEY GIP	UBI601378809	8/21/2014	REFUND/BUSINESS LICENSE~	50.00	50.00
		Voucher: 37500						
51068	8/29/2014	025376	UNIVERSAL FIELD SERVICES, IN	38915	7/31/2014	BP WAY PH 5/ROW ACQUISITION	8,589.84	8,589.84
		Voucher: 37501						
51069	8/29/2014	001331	UNIVERSITY PLACE REFUSE SV	1809348	8/20/2014	SEP14 BILLING PERIOD/REFUSE	1,642.89	1,642.89
		Voucher: 37502						
51070	8/29/2014	001332	UNIVERSITY PLACE TIRE CENTE	9298	8/27/2014	OIL CHANGE/SHOCK ABORBERS	429.15	429.15
		Voucher: 37503						
51071	8/29/2014	001032	WA STATE	2014070092	8/4/2014	JUL14/ANALOG PHONE LINES/CI	197.90	197.90
		Voucher: 37506						
51072	8/29/2014	001512	WA STATE AUDITORS OFFICE	L104373	8/13/2014	AUDIT PERIOD/13-13	12,039.80	12,039.80
		Voucher: 37507						
51073	8/29/2014	024399	WELLS FARGO FINANCIAL LEAS	5001425146	8/19/2014	SEP15-OCT14/RENT/LEXMARK F	95.07	95.07
		Voucher: 37508						
51074	8/29/2014	024041	WESTERN SYSTEMS INC.	0000025860	8/20/2014	USB-TO-SERIAL CONVERTER RE	357.36	357.36
		Voucher: 37509						
51075	8/29/2014	022306	WHITWORTH PEST SOLUTIONS	1231938	8/19/2014	PEST CONTROL/WMV	67.55	
		Voucher: 37510		231937	8/19/2014	PEST CONTROL/SENIOR CENTE	46.77	114.32
Sub total for BANK OF AMERICA:								690,471.01

82 checks in this report.

Grand Total All Checks: 690,471.01

**Business of the City Council
City of University Place, WA**

Proposed Council Action:

Adopt a resolution acknowledging approval of amendments to the Pierce County Countywide Planning Policies, adding new annexation policies and authorizing the City Manager to execute an Inter-local Agreement, thereby ratifying the amendments.

Agenda No: 10
Dept. Origin: Planning
For Agenda of: September 15, 2014
Exhibits: Interlocal Agreement
Proposed Resolution

Concurred by Mayor: _____
Approved by City Manager: _____
Approved as to form by City Atty: _____
Approved by Finance Director: _____
Approved by Department Head: _____

Expenditure Required: \$0.00	Amount Budgeted: \$0.00	Appropriation Required: \$0.00
---------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------------

SUMMARY / POLICY ISSUES

The Pierce County Regional Council was created in 1992 by interlocal agreement among the cities and towns of Pierce County and Pierce County, and charged with responsibilities, including: serving as a local link to the Puget Sound Regional Council, promoting intergovernmental cooperation, and facilitating compliance with the coordination and consistency requirements of the Growth Management Act (Chapter 36.70A RCW). On August 14, 1995, the City Council adopted Resolution 42 the County-Wide Planning Policies.

The proposed amendments to the Countywide Planning Polices refine and add polices addressing annexation of unincorporated urban areas by adjacent cities and towns. The Amendments would change existing urban service areas into "Potential Annexations Areas" (PAA). A Potential Annexation Area refers to an unincorporated area within the County's Urban Growth Area which a city or town has identified as being appropriate for annexation at some point in the future.

The proposed amendments would require jurisdictions to identify a PAA within their comprehensive plan; Require joint planning agreements; encourage the resolution of existing overlaps, discourage the creation of island between cities and towns, and encourage the resolution of split parcels prior to initial designation.

The proposed amendments also encourage annexation of areas, by encouraging joint planning agreements, limiting annexations to only those areas where a city or town has established a PAA, establishing financial incentives to encourage annexation of unincorporated urban areas, exploring partnerships in grant funding opportunities, encouraging mixed uses in PAAs, and identifying islands a the County's highest priority for annexations.

BOARD OR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Pierce County Regional Council the PCRC, based on the recommendations from the GMCC and its own discussions, recommended approval of the proposal at its October 17, 2013 meeting. The Pierce County Planning Commission held a public hearing on November 26, 2013 after which the Commission recommended approval of the amendments to the County Council. The Pierce County Council approved the amendments on June 24, 2014.

RECOMMENDATION / MOTION

MOVE TO: Adopt a resolution acknowledging approval of amendments to the Pierce County Countywide Planning Policies, adding new annexation policies and authorizing the City Manager to execute an Inter-local Agreement, thereby ratifying the amendments.

RESOLUTION NO. ____

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE, WASHINGTON, ACKNOWLEDGING ITS APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE PIERCE COUNTY COUNTY-WIDE PLANNING POLICIES TO INCORPORATE ANNEXATION POLICES AS RECOMMENDED BY THE PIERCE COUNTY REGIONAL COUNCIL AND APPROVED BY THE PIERCE COUNTY COUNCIL AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH PIERCE COUNTY AND ITS CITIES AND TOWNS THEREBY AMENDING THE COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICIES

WHEREAS, the Pierce County Regional Council was created in 1992 by Interlocal Agreement among the cities and towns of Pierce County and Pierce County, and charged with responsibilities, including: Serving as a local link to the Puget Sound Regional Council, promoting intergovernmental cooperation, facilitating compliance with the coordination and consistency requirements of the Growth Management Act (GMA) (Chapter 36.70A RCW) and the Regional Transportation Planning Organization (Chapter 47.80 RCW), and developing a consensus among jurisdictions regarding the development and modification of the Pierce County Countywide Planning Policies; and

WHEREAS, the Pierce County County-Wide Planning Policies (CPPs) are written policy statements which are to be used solely for establishing a county-wide framework from which the County and municipal comprehensive plans are developed and adopted; and

WHEREAS, the framework is intended to ensure that the County and municipal comprehensive plans are consistent as required by the Growth Management Act; and

WHEREAS, on June 30, 1992, the Pierce County Council adopted the initial CPPs; and

WHEREAS, the Pierce County Growth Management Coordinating Committee (GMCC) is a technical subcommittee to the Pierce County Regional Council (PCRC) and the includes staff representatives from the County and the cities and towns within Pierce County; and

WHEREAS, the PCRC, based on the recommendations from the GMCC and its own discussions, recommended approval of the proposal at its October 17, 2013 meeting; and

WHEREAS, amendments to the Pierce County County-wide Planning Policies must be adopted through amendment of the original Interlocal Agreement or by a new Interlocal Agreement ratified by sixty percent of the jurisdictions in Pierce County representing seventy-five percent of the total population; and

WHEREAS, demonstration of ratification shall be by execution of an Interlocal Agreement or the absence of a legislative action to disapprove a proposed amendment; and

WHEREAS, an Interlocal Agreement entitled "Amendments to the Pierce County Countywide Planning Policies" has been developed for this purpose, and is included as Exhibit B to Pierce County Ordinance No. 2014-17s; and

WHEREAS, a jurisdiction shall be deemed as casting an affirmative vote if it has not taken legislative action to disapprove a proposed amendment within 180 days from the date the Pierce County Council formally authorizes the Pierce County Executive to enter into an Interlocal Agreement; and

WHEREAS, when ratified by the necessary number of cities and towns, section 19D.240 of the Pierce County Code (PCC) "Pierce County Countywide Planning Policies" shall be amended by a subsequent ordinance of the County Council to incorporate the recommend proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Pierce County Environmental Official has determined the proposal to be exempt from SEPA per WAC 197-11-800 (19) and;

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of University Place held a study session on September 2, 2014 to consider the proposed county-wide planning policy amendments to incorporate annexation policies into the Pierce County Countywide Planning Policies; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that it is in the public interest to authorize the City Manager to execute the Interlocal Agreement with the County and its cities and towns thereby ratifying the proposed amendments to the Pierce County CPPs.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE, WASHINGTON, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The University Place City Council acknowledges its approval of the amendments to the Pierce County County-wide Planning Policies recommended by the Pierce County Regional Council and approved by the County Council, which are attached as Exhibit A to Pierce County Ordinance 2014-17s and are incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. Authorization. The City Manager is hereby authorized to execute the Interlocal Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit B to Pierce County Ordinance No. 2014-17s and by this reference incorporated herein, thereby ratifying the attached amendments to the Pierce County County-Wide Planning Policies as recommended by the Pierce County Regional Council and approved by the County Council.

Section 3. Effective Date. This resolution shall be effective immediately upon signing.

ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON SEPTEMBER ____, 2014.

Denise McCluskey, Mayor

ATTEST:

Emy Genetia, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Steve Victor, City Attorney

Proposed Amendments
to the
Pierce County Countywide Planning Policies
Addressing

**Potential Annexation Areas
and
Annexation**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12



1 **COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICY ON URBAN GROWTH AREAS,**
2 **PROMOTION OF CONTIGUOUS AND ORDERLY DEVELOPMENT**
3 **AND PROVISION OF URBAN SERVICES TO SUCH DEVELOPMENT**

4
5 **Background - Requirements of Growth Management Act**

6
7 The Washington State Growth Management Act has as planning goals the encouragement of development in
8 urban areas where adequate public facilities and services exist or can be provided in an efficient manner
9 [RCW 36.70A.020(1)], the reduction of sprawl (*i.e.*, the inappropriate or premature conversion of undeveloped
10 land into low-density development) [RCW 36.70A.020(2)], and the provision of adequate public facilities and
11 services necessary to support urban development at the time the development is available for occupancy and
12 use (without decreasing current service levels below locally established minimum standards) [RCW
13 36.70A.020(12)] as planning goals.

14
15 The Growth Management Act further requires (1) that the County designate an "urban growth area" (UGA) or
16 areas within which urban growth shall be encouraged and outside of which growth shall occur only if it is not
17 "urban" in character; (2) that each municipality in the County be included within an UGA; (3) that an UGA
18 include territory outside of existing municipal boundaries only if such territory is characterized by urban
19 growth or is adjacent to territory that is already characterized by urban growth. [RCW 36.70A.110(1); for
20 definition of "urban growth" see RCW 36.70A.030(17).]

21
22 The designated UGAs shall be of adequate size and appropriate permissible densities so as to
23 accommodate the urban growth that is projected by the State Office of Financial Management to occur in the
24 County for the succeeding 20-year period. While each UGA shall permit urban densities, it shall also include
25 greenbelt and open space areas [RCW 36.70A.110(2)].

26
27 As to the timing and sequencing of urban growth and development over the 20-year planning period, urban
28 growth shall occur *first* in areas already characterized by urban growth that have existing public facility and
29 service capacities to service such development, *second* in areas already characterized by urban growth that
30 will be served by a combination of both existing public facilities and services and any additional needed public
31 facilities and services that are provided by either public or private sources [RCW 36.70A.110(3)]. Urban
32 government services shall be provided primarily by cities, and it is not appropriate that urban governmental
33 services be extended to or expanded in rural areas except in those limited circumstances shown to be
34 necessary to protect basic public health and safety and environment, and when such services are financially
35 supportable at rural densities and do not permit urban development [RCW 36.70A.110(4)].

36
37 The Growth Management Act Amendments expressly require that countywide planning policies address the
38 implementation of UGA designations [RCW 36.70A.210(3)(a)], the promotion of contiguous and orderly
39 development, the provision of urban services to such development [RCW 36.70A.210(3)(b)], and the
40 coordination of joint county and municipal planning within UGAs [RCW 36.70A.210(3)(f)].

41
42 **VISION 2040 Multicounty Planning Policies (MPPs)**

43
44 VISION 2040 calls for a more efficient, sustainable, and strategic use of the region's land. It identifies urban
45 lands as a critical component to accommodate population and employment growth in a sustainable way.
46 VISION 2040 calls for directing development to the region's existing urban lands, especially in centers and
47 compact communities, and limiting growth on rural lands. The Regional Growth Strategy found in VISION
48 2040 allocates 93 percent of the region's future population growth and 97 percent of its employment growth
49 into the existing urban growth area. Cities are divided into four distinct groups: Metropolitan Cities, Core
50 Cities, Large Cities, and Small Cities. An additional geography is Unincorporated Urban Growth Areas.
51 VISION 2040 recognizes that unincorporated urban lands are often similar in character to cities they are
52 adjacent to, calling for them to be affiliated with adjacent cities for joint planning purposes and future
53 annexation.

54
55 VISION 2040 recognizes that compact development creates vibrant, livable, and healthy urban communities
56 that offer economic opportunities for all, provide housing and transportation choices, and use our resources
57 wisely. The Multicounty Planning Policies support the effective use of urban land and include provisions that



1 address brownfield and contaminated site clean-up, the development of compact communities and centers
2 with pedestrian-friendly, transit-oriented locations and a mix of residences, jobs, retail, and other amenities,
3 and the siting of facilities and major public amenities in compact urban communities and centers.

4
5 VISION 2040 recognizes that centers provide easy access to jobs, services, shopping, and
6 entertainment. With their mix of uses and pedestrian-friendly design, they can rely less on forms of
7 transportation that contribute to air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions. VISION 2040 identifies 27
8 regional growth centers. These places play an important role as locations of the region's most significant
9 business, governmental, and cultural facilities. The 18 cities that have one or more regional growth
10 centers are expected to accommodate a significant portion of the region's residential growth (53 percent)
11 and employment growth (71 percent).

12
13 VISION 2040 calls for local jurisdictions with regional growth centers to adopt housing and employment
14 targets for each center. Eight regional manufacturing/industrial centers have also been designated. These
15 are locations for more intensive commercial and industrial activity. Both regional growth centers and
16 regional manufacturing/industrial centers are focal points for economic development and transportation
17 infrastructure investments. Subregional centers, including downtowns in suburban cities and other
18 neighborhood centers, also play an important role in VISION 2040's *Regional Growth Strategy*. These,
19 too, are strategic locations for concentrating jobs, housing, shopping, and recreational opportunities.
20 VISION 2040 calls for each of the region's cities to develop one or more central places as compact
21 mixed-use hubs for concentrating residences, jobs, shops, and community facilities.

22
23 Urban services addressed in VISION 2040 include wastewater and stormwater systems, solid waste, energy,
24 telecommunications, emergency services, and water supply. An overarching goal of VISION 2040 is to
25 provide sufficient and efficient public services and facilities in a manner that is healthy, safe, and
26 economically viable. Conservation is a major theme throughout VISION 2040. The Multicounty Planning
27 Policies address increasing recycling and reducing waste and encouraging more efficient use of water, low-
28 impact development techniques, and renewable and alternative energy. The Multicounty Planning Policies
29 also address siting of public facilities and the appropriateness and scale of particular public services.

30
31 VISION 2040 calls for jurisdictions to invest in facilities and amenities that serve centers and restrict urban
32 facilities in rural and resource areas. The Multicounty Planning Policies also discourage schools and other
33 institutions serving urban residents from locating outside the urban growth area.

34 35 **Principles of Understanding Between Pierce County and the Municipalities in Pierce County**

36
37 While following the goals and regulations of the Growth Management Act, Pierce County and the
38 municipalities in Pierce County will strive to protect the individual identities and spirit of each of our cities and
39 of the rural areas and unincorporated communities.

40
41 Further agreements will be necessary to carry out the framework of joint planning adopted herein. These
42 agreements will be between the County and each city and between the various cities.

43
44 The services provided within our communities by special purpose districts are of vital importance to our
45 citizens. Consistent with the adopted regional strategy, these districts will be part of future individual and
46 group negotiations under the framework adopted by the County and municipal governments.

47
48 While the Growth Management Act defines sewer service as an urban service, Pierce County currently is a
49 major provider of both sewer transmission and treatment services. The County and municipalities recognize
50 that it is appropriate for the County and municipalities to continue to provide sewer transmission and
51 treatment services.

52
53 The County recognizes that unincorporated lands within UGAs are often Potential Annexation Areas for
54 cities. Although annexation is preferred, these are also areas where incorporation of new cities can could
55 occur. The County will work with existing municipalities and emerging communities to make such transitions
56 efficiently. The identification of "Potential Annexation Areas" (PAAs) is intended to serve as the foundation for
57 future strategies to annex areas within the urban growth area. A Potential Annexation Area refers to an



1 unincorporated area within the designated urban growth area which a city or town has identified as being
2 appropriate for annexation at some point in the future. A Potential Annexation Area designation does not
3 obligate a jurisdiction to annex an area within a defined timeline. It is the County's authority, in consultation
4 with cities and towns, to adopt the urban growth area(s), and identify individual Potential Annexation Areas.

6 In order to promote logical, orderly, and systematic annexations of the urban growth area(s), the County in
7 partnership with cities and towns, should establish joint planning agreements and annexation plans prior to
8 expanding or adding to existing PAAs. Creation of new PAAs prior to the annexation of existing PAAs may
9 directly impact Pierce County government and its service obligations, and may undermine the transition of
10 existing unincorporated lands into cities and towns.

12 The County encourages cities and towns to annex land within its respective PAAs. The County recognizes
13 cities and towns may not have a financial incentive to annex areas that will require more expenditures than
14 the revenue produced through property or sales tax. Jurisdictions need to be creative in identifying potential
15 financial incentives, in addition to establishing partnerships to overcome the financial obstacles. As a means
16 to allocate resources, the County should prioritize the PAAs, with the highest being unincorporated "islands"
17 between cities and towns. Pierce County shall support future annexations for areas in which a joint planning
18 agreement exists between the County and appropriate city or town.

20 At the same time, annexations and incorporations have direct and significant impacts on the revenue of
21 County government, and therefore, may affect the ability of the County to fulfill its role as a provider of certain
22 regional services. The municipalities will work closely with the County to develop appropriate revenue sharing
23 and contractual services arrangements that facilitate the goals of GMA.

25 The Countywide Planning Policies are intended to be the consistent "theme" of growth management planning
26 among the County and municipalities. The policies also spell out processes and mechanisms designed to
27 foster open communication and feedback among the jurisdictions. The County, and the cities and towns, will
28 adhere to the processes and mechanisms provided in the policies.

30 **Growth Targets**

31 The Regional Growth Strategy set forth in VISION 2040 provides guidance for the distribution of future
32 population and employment growth through the year 2040 within the Central Puget Sound Region. This
33 strategy, in combination with the Office of Financial Management's population forecasts, provides a
34 framework for establishing growth targets consistent with the requirements of the Growth Management Act.
35 Consistent with VISION 2040, these growth targets are the *minimum* number of residents, housing units, or
36 jobs a given jurisdiction is planning to accommodate within the appropriate planning horizon and are
37 informational tools integrated into local land use plans to assist in formulating future residential and
38 employment land needs. These targets are to be developed through a collaborative countywide process that
39 ensures all jurisdictions are accommodating a fair share of growth.

41 Achievement of the future envisioned by VISION 2040 will be challenging. Jurisdictions in some regional
42 geographies will likely be planning for growth targets that are above or below the policy direction set by
43 the Regional Growth Strategy because they are on a front- or back-loaded growth trajectory toward 2040.
44 In other regional geographies, recent growth has been at such significant odds with the policy direction
45 set by the Regional Growth Strategy (such as recent growth in unincorporated urban Pierce County from
46 2000 to 2007 has already accounted for more than half of the 40-year growth allocation), that the 2040
47 goal will likely be exceeded. In such cases, jurisdictions are asked to set growth targets as close to
48 VISION 2040 as reasonably possible in an effort to "bend the trend" of future growth to more closely
49 conform to the Regional Growth Strategy. If a jurisdiction's adopted target is lower or higher than
50 expected from a straight-line application of the Regional Growth Strategy, certification by the Puget
51 Sound Regional Council (PSRC) will be based on the actions and measures taken or proposed to be put
52 in place to bend the trend, not just on an assessment of the adopted targets.

54 It is recognized that some of the urban growth areas in existence prior to the adoption of VISION 2040
55 may contain more potential housing and employment capacity based upon zoning, allowed density, land
56 division patterns, and other factors than is needed to accommodate the growth target of the associated
57 geography. In many cases, these urban growth areas have been in existence for a decade or more,



1 contain existing development patterns, which are urban in character, and are served by sanitary sewer
2 and other urban infrastructure. These areas are largely expected to remain within the urban growth area
3 consistent with their urban character. Expansion of the urban growth area boundaries that do not comply
4 with provisions in the Amendments and Transition section of these policies is acknowledged to be
5 inconsistent with CPPs and is strongly discouraged.

6
7 **Centers**

8
9 Centers are to be areas of concentrated employment and/or housing within UGAs which serve as the hubs of
10 transit and transportation systems. Centers and connecting corridors are integral to creating compact urban
11 development that conserves resources and creates additional transportation, housing, and shopping choices.
12 Centers are an important part of the regional strategy (VISION 2040) for urban growth and are required to be
13 addressed in the Countywide Planning Policies. Centers will become focal points for growth within the
14 County's UGA and will be areas where public investment is directed.

15
16 Centers are to:

- 17 • be priority locations for accommodating growth;
- 18 • strengthen existing development patterns;
- 19 • promote housing opportunities close to employment;
- 20 • support development of an extensive multimodal transportation system which reduces dependency
21 on automobiles;
- 22 • reduce congestion and improve air quality; and
- 23 • maximize the benefit of public investment in infrastructure and services.

24
25 VISION 2040, the adopted regional growth strategy, identifies several centers as an integral feature for
26 accommodating residential and employment growth. The strategy describes Regional Growth Centers, and
27 other centers that may be designated through countywide processes or locally. Regional Growth Centers
28 once regionally designated are located either in Metropolitan Cities, or in Core Cities. VISION 2040 also
29 identifies Manufacturing/Industrial Centers, which consist primarily of manufacturing and industrial uses.
30 Pierce County has five Regional Growth Centers and two Manufacturing/Industrial Centers that have been
31 adopted into the regional growth strategy. Pierce County Regional Growth Centers are located in Tacoma,
32 which is a Metropolitan City, and in Lakewood and Puyallup, which are Core Cities.

33
34 Regional Growth Centers in the Metropolitan City

35 Tacoma Central Business District
36 Tacoma Mall

37
38 Regional Growth Centers in Core Cities

39 Lakewood
40 Puyallup Downtown
41 Puyallup South Hill

42
43 Currently there are no designated Countywide Centers.

44
45 Manufacturing/Industrial Centers are areas where employee- or land-intensive uses will be located. These
46 centers differ from Regional Growth Centers in that they consist of an extensive land base and the exclusion
47 of non-manufacturing or manufacturing-supportive uses is an essential feature of their character. These
48 areas are characterized by a significant amount of manufacturing, industrial, and advanced technology
49 employment uses. Large retail and non-related office uses are discouraged. Other than caretakers'
50 residences, housing is prohibited within Manufacturing/Industrial Centers. However, these centers should be
51 linked to high density housing areas by an efficient multimodal transportation system. The efficiency of rail
52 and overland freight to markets is the critical element for manufacturers and industries located in these
53 centers.

54
55 The designated Manufacturing/Industrial Centers, within Pierce County are as follows:
56



1
2 Manufacturing / Industrial Centers

3 Frederickson
4 Port of Tacoma
5

6 Within Pierce County, a limited number of additional centers may be designated through amendment of the
7 Countywide Planning Policies consistent with the process below.
8

9 Designated centers may vary substantially in the number of households and jobs they contain today. The
10 intent of the Countywide Planning Policies is that Regional Growth Centers become attractive places to live
11 and work, while supporting efficient public services such as transit and being responsive to the local market
12 for jobs and housing.
13

14 The Countywide Planning Policies establish target levels for housing and employment needed to achieve the
15 benefit of a center. Some centers will reach these levels over the next twenty years, while for others the
16 criteria set a path for growth over a longer term, providing capacity to accommodate growth beyond the
17 twenty year horizon.
18

19 **County-Level Centers Designation Process**

20
21 The County and any municipality in the County that is planning to include a Metropolitan City Center,
22 Regional Growth Center, Countywide Center or Manufacturing / Industrial Center within its boundaries shall
23 specifically define the area of such center within its comprehensive plan. The comprehensive plan shall
24 include policies aimed at focusing growth within the center and along corridors consistent with the applicable
25 criteria contained within the Countywide Planning Policies. The County or municipality shall adopt
26 regulations that reinforce the center's designation.
27

28 No more often than once every two years, the Pierce County Regional Council (PCRC) shall invite
29 jurisdictions with centers already adopted in their comprehensive plan that seek to be designated as centers
30 in the Countywide Planning Policies to submit a request for such designation. Said request shall be
31 processed in accordance with established procedures for amending the Countywide Planning Policies.
32

33 Each jurisdiction seeking to have a center designated in the Countywide Planning Policies shall provide the
34 PCRC with a report demonstrating that the proposed center meets the minimum criteria for designation
35 together with a statement and map describing the center, its consistency with the applicable Countywide
36 Planning Policies, and how adopted regulations will serve the center.
37

38 Transit services shall be defined in the broadest sense and shall include local and regional bus service, rail
39 where appropriate, vanpool, carpool, and other transportation demand measures designed to reduce vehicle
40 trips.
41

42 The minimum designation criteria to establish a candidate center by type are as follows:
43

44 Metropolitan City Center

45 Area: up to 1-1/2 square miles in size;
46 Capital Facilities: served by sanitary sewers;
47 Employment: a minimum of 25 employees per gross acre of non-residential lands with a minimum of
48 15,000 employees;
49 Population: a minimum of ten households per gross acre; and
50 Transit: serve as a focal point for regional and local transit services.
51

52 Regional Growth Center

53 Area: up to 1-1/2 square miles in size;
54 Capital Facilities: served by sanitary sewers;
55 Employment: a minimum of 2,000 employees;
56 Population: a minimum of seven households per gross acre; and
57 Transit: serve as a focal point for regional and local transit services.



1
2 Countywide Center

3 Area: up to one square mile in size;
4 Capital Facilities: served by sanitary sewers;
5 Employment: a minimum of 1,000 employees;
6 Population: a minimum of 6 households per gross acre; and
7 Transit: serve as a focal point for local transit services.
8

9 Manufacturing / Industrial Center

10 Capital Facilities: served by sanitary sewers;
11 Employment: a minimum of 7,500 jobs and/or 2,000 truck trips per day; and
12 Transportation: within one mile of a state or federal highway or national rail line.
13

14 The minimum criteria report and statement shall be reviewed by the Growth Management Coordinating
15 Committee (GMCC) for consistency with Countywide Planning Policies, the Transportation Coordination
16 Committee (TCC) for consistency with transportation improvements plans of WSDOT, and with Pierce
17 Transit's comprehensive plan. The coordinating committees shall provide joint recommendation to the
18 PCRC.
19

20 Once included in the Countywide Planning Policies, the jurisdiction where a center is located may go on to
21 seek regional designation of the center from the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) in accordance with
22 its established criteria and process.
23

24 In order to be designated a Regional Growth Center the center should meet the regional criteria and
25 requirements including those in VISION 2040, the regional growth, economic and transportation strategy as
26 may be amended and designated by the Puget Sound Regional Council.
27

28 After county-level designation occurs within the Countywide Planning Policies and until regional-level
29 designation by the PSRC occurs the center shall be considered a "candidate" Regional Growth Center.
30

31 Each jurisdiction which designates a Regional Growth Center shall establish 20-year household and
32 employment growth targets for that Center. The expected range of targets will reflect the diversity of the
33 various centers and allow communities to effectively plan for needed services. The target ranges not only set
34 a policy for the level of growth envisioned for each center, but also for the timing and funding of infrastructure
35 improvements. Reaching the target ranges will require careful planning of public investment and providing
36 incentives for private investments.
37

38 Three candidate regional centers have been included into the Countywide Planning Policies. One of the
39 candidate centers is a Regional Growth Center and the other two candidate centers are an
40 Industrial/Manufacturing Center.
41

42 Candidate Regional Centers

43 University Place – Candidate Regional Growth Center
44 South Tacoma – Candidate Industrial/Manufacturing Center
45 Sumner-Pacific – Candidate Industrial/Manufacturing Center
46

47 Urban Growth Outside of Centers

48
49 A variety of urban land uses and areas of growth will occur outside of designated centers but within the UGA.
50 Local land use plans will guide the location, scale, timing, and design of development within UGAs. The UGA
51 will be where the majority of future growth and development will be targeted. Development should be
52 encouraged which complements the desired focus of growth into centers and supports a multimodal
53 transportation system. For example, policies which encourage infill and revitalization of communities would
54 help to achieve the regional and statewide objectives of a compact and concentrated development pattern
55 within urban areas. The Countywide Planning Policies provide guidance for development and the provision
56 of urban services to support development within the UGA.
57



1
2 **Satellite Cities and Towns**
3

4 The cities and towns in the rural areas are a significant part of Pierce County's diversity and heritage. They
5 have an important role as local trade and community centers. These cities and towns are the appropriate
6 providers of local rural services for the community. They also contribute to the variety of development
7 patterns and housing choices within the county. As municipalities, these cities and towns provide urban
8 services and are located within the County's designated UGA. The urban services, residential densities and
9 mix of land uses may differ from those of the large, contiguous portion of the UGA in Pierce County.

10
11 **Countywide Planning Policy**
12

13 UGA-1. The County shall designate a the countywide urban growth area and Potential Annexation
14 Areas within it, and identify where appropriate municipal urban growth areas within the
15 countywide urban growth area, based on in consultations between the County and each
16 municipality.
17

18 1.1 County referral of proposed urban growth area and Potential Annexation Area
19 designations to the Pierce County Regional Council (PCRC).
20

21 1.1.1 The PCRC may refer the proposed designations to the Growth Management
22 Coordinating Committee (GMCC), or its successor entity for technical advice and
23 for a report.
24

25 1.1.2 The PCRC may conduct public meetings to review the proposed designation
26 and, at such meetings, may accept oral or written comments and
27 communications from the public.
28

29 1.1.3 At the conclusion of its review and analysis, the PCRC shall make a
30 recommendation to the County and to the municipalities in the County.
31

32 1.2 Once adopted by the County, the urban growth area and Potential Annexation Area(s)
33 designations shall not be changed except in accordance with the Countywide Policy on
34 "Amendments and Transition."
35

36 1.2.1 A jurisdiction shall not be required to modify existing urban growth area
37 boundaries or Potential Annexation Areas in order to reduce the residential or
38 employment capacity to conform to adopted growth targets reflecting VISION
39 2040's Regional Growth Strategy. Jurisdictions shall, however, consider the
40 adopted growth targets when updating their local comprehensive plans.
41

42 1.2.2 Growth targets are the minimum number of residents, housing units, or jobs a
43 given jurisdiction is planning to accommodate within the appropriate planning
44 horizon and are to be developed through a collaborative countywide process that
45 ensures all jurisdictions are accommodating a fair share of growth. These
46 targets are informational tools integrated into local land use plans to assist in
47 formulating future residential and employment land needs.
48

49 UGA-2. The following specific factors and criteria shall dictate the size and boundaries of urban growth
50 areas:
51

52 2.1 Size
53

54 2.1.1 Urban growth areas must be of sufficient size to accommodate the urban growth
55 projected to occur over the succeeding 20-year planning period taking into
56 account the following:



- a. land with natural constraints, such as critical areas (environmentally-sensitive land);
- b. agricultural land to be preserved;
- c. greenbelts and open space;
- d. New Fully Contained Communities pursuant to RCW § 36.70A.350;
- e. maintaining a supply of developable land sufficient to allow market forces to operate and precluding the possibility of a land monopoly but no more than is absolutely essential to achieve the above purpose;
- f. existing projects with development potential at various stages of the approval or permitting process (i.e., the "pipeline");
- g. land use patterns created by subdivisions, short plats or large lot divisions;
- h. build-out of existing development and areas which are currently only partially built out;
- i. follow existing parcel boundary lines (~~if a parcel is split and more than 50% is within the urban growth boundary, the entire parcel shall be considered part of the urban growth area as long as the increase does not exceed 2% of the municipality's total urban growth area~~).

2.1.2. The County, and each municipality in the County, shall cooperatively develop and propose objective standards and criteria to disaggregate the State Office of Financial Management's Countywide growth forecasts and VISION 2040 Regional Growth Strategy forecasts for the allocation of projected population to the County and municipalities, taking into account the availability and concurrency of public facilities and services with the impact of development, as well as the VISION 2040 Regional Growth Strategy.

2.1.3 The County shall use a consistent countywide targeting process for allocating population and employment growth consistent with the regional vision, including establishing:

- a. local employment targets,
- b. local housing targets based on population projections, and
- c. local housing and employment targets for each designated regional growth center.

2.2 Boundaries

2.2.1 The following shall be considered in determining the location of urban growth area boundaries:

- a. geographic, topographic, and manmade features;
- b. public facility and service availability, limits and extensions;
- c. jurisdictional boundaries including special improvement districts;
- d. location of designated natural resource lands and critical areas;
- e. avoidance of unserviceable islands of County land surrounded by other jurisdictional entities;
- f. destination 2030 urban/rural line and PSCAA burn ban line.

Phasing of Development within the Urban Growth Area

2.3 The County and each municipality in the County shall seek to direct growth as follows:

- a. first to cities and towns, centers and urbanized areas with existing infrastructure capacity;
- b. second to areas that are already urbanized such that infrastructure improvements can be easily extended; and
- c. last to areas requiring major infrastructure improvements.

2.3.1 Capital facilities plans shall identify existing, planned, and future infrastructure needs within Urban Growth Areas.



- 1 2.3.2 The County and each municipality in the County should identify appropriate
2 levels of service and concurrency standards that address schools, sewer, water,
3 and parks.
4 2.3.3 The County and each municipality in the County shall identify appropriate levels
5 of service and multimodal concurrency standards that address roads.
6

7 ~~2.4 Municipal urban growth area boundaries shall be determined as set forth above and with~~
8 ~~consideration for the following additional factors:~~

- 9
10 ~~2.4.1 the VISION 2040 document, including Multicounty Planning Policies;~~
11 ~~2.4.2 the carrying capacity of the land considering natural resources, agricultural land~~
12 ~~and environmentally sensitive lands;~~
13 ~~2.4.3 population, housing, and employment projections;~~
14 ~~2.4.4 financial capabilities and urban services capacities;~~
15 ~~2.4.5 consistency and compatibility with neighborhood, local and regional plans;~~
16 ~~2.4.6 the existing land use and subdivision pattern.~~
17

18 2.4 The urban growth area in unincorporated portions of the County shall be limited to the
19 following:

- 20
21 2.4.1 build-out of existing partially developed areas with urban services;
22 2.4.2 new fully contained communities;
23 2.4.3 redevelopment corridors.
24

25 2.5 The County's urban growth area may be extended to allow for build-out of newly
26 developed areas only if development capacity within ~~municipal urban growth boundaries~~
27 **Potential Annexation Areas** and growth in the areas identified in Policy 2.5 is determined
28 to be inadequate to meet total population and employment projections consistent with
29 the other policies set forth herein.
30

31 2.6 Encourage efficient use of urban land by maximizing the development potential of
32 existing urban lands, such as advancing development that achieves zoned density.
33

34 2.7 ~~The municipal urban growth areas as well as unincorporated urban growth areas not~~
35 ~~affiliated with a city or town, in existence prior to the adoption of VISION 2040 may~~
36 ~~contain capacity beyond that needed to accommodate the growth target per regional~~
37 ~~geography for the succeeding 20-year planning period based upon existing zoning~~
38 ~~designations, allowed density, existing land division patterns, and similar factors. It is~~
39 ~~permissible for such areas to continue to be designated as urban growth areas.~~
40 ~~Expansion of these urban growth areas boundaries is acknowledged to be inconsistent~~
41 ~~with the CPPs and strongly discouraged if the urban growth area expansion is not in~~
42 ~~accordance with policy AT-2.3.~~
43

44 **UGA-3. Potential annexation areas shall be designated through the Pierce County Comprehensive Plan**
45 **in consultation with cities and towns.**
46

47 **3.1 A city or town shall first identify a Potential Annexation Area(s) within its respective**
48 **Comprehensive Plan;**
49

50 **3.2 Potential Annexation Area boundaries shall be determined with consideration for the**
51 **following additional factors;**
52

- 53 **3.2.1 the VISION 2040 document, including Multicounty Planning Policies;**
54 **3.2.2 the carrying capacity of the land considering natural resources, agricultural land**
55 **and environmentally-sensitive lands;**
56 **3.2.3 population, housing, and employment projections;**
57 **3.2.4 financial capabilities and urban services capacities;**



- 3.2.5 consistency and compatibility with neighborhood, local and regional plans;
- 3.2.6 the existing land use and subdivision pattern;
- 3.2.7 property access and ownership.

3.3 Potential Annexation Areas should not overlap or leave unincorporated urban islands between cities and towns.

3.3.1 Future requests to establish a new Potential Annexation Area shall not result in an overlap with an existing Potential Annexation Area or create islands between cities and towns.

3.3.2 Cities and towns with existing Potential Annexation Area overlaps should work toward resolving the existing overlaps.

3.4 The urban service areas and satellite urban growth areas as designated through the Pierce County Comprehensive Plan as of June 30, 2013 shall be recognized as designated Potential Annexation Areas.

3.4.1 Urban service area designations approved by the Pierce County Council through its 2013 Comprehensive Plan Amendment Cycle shall be recognized as a Potential Annexation Area.

3.4.2 Boundaries of the Potential Annexation Areas should not split parcels. Efforts should be put forth to resolve split parcels prior to the initial designation of Potential Annexation Areas.

Annexation within the Urban Growth Area

UGA-4. Pierce County, in conjunction with its cities and towns, shall establish a strategy for future annexations within the urban growth area.

4.1 Annexation is preferred over incorporation within the urban growth area.

4.2 The Potential Annexation Areas as identified in the Pierce County Comprehensive Plan shall be the foundation to an annexation strategy.

4.2.1 Cities and towns are allowed to annex territory only within their adopted Potential Annexation Area as identified in the Pierce County Comprehensive Plan.

4.2.2 Annexation of an area should be phased to coincide with a city or town's ability to coordinate the provision of a full range of urban services to the areas proposed for annexation.

4.3 The County and its cities and towns should proactively coordinate the annexation of unincorporated areas within the urban growth area that are within each respective city or town's Potential Annexation Area.

4.3.1 The County and each city and town should work towards the establishment of annexation plans and joint planning agreements, with an exception for lands associated with Joint Base Lewis McChord and Camp Murray.

4.3.1.1 A joint planning agreement is to serve as a mechanism where the County or a city can, prior to notice of annexation, identify potential objections and resolutions.

4.3.1.2 An annexation plan should identify a potential schedule for annexation of areas with a city or town.

4.3.2 The County should explore and implement financial incentives for a city or town to annex areas associated with its respective Potential Annexation Area.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

4.3.2.1 Financial incentives may include the establishment of a County level grant fund to assist in financial challenges a city or town may have in annexing an area.

4.3.2.2 Financial incentives may include the elimination or reduction in a fee associated with a County service to a city or town in exchange for annexing an area.

4.3.3 The County, and cities and towns, should explore potential partnerships in grant funding opportunities to overcome obstacles associated with annexing specific areas.

4.3.4 Cities and towns should recognize the financial impacts experienced by the County when annexation only encompasses commercial or greenfield areas and avoids existing residential development.

4.3.4.1 Cities and towns are encouraged to include a mix of existing commercial, residential, and greenfield areas, where appropriate, in future annexation proposals.

4.4 The County should prioritize the adopted Potential Annexation Areas for annexation.

4.4.1 The County's highest priority should be Potential Annexation Areas representing unincorporated "islands" between cities and towns; and,

4.4.2 The County shall support annexation for areas in which a joint planning agreement exists between the County and appropriate city or town.

Note: The policy numbers/citations for all policies that follow will need to be changed.

INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT

**AMENDMENTS TO THE PIERCE COUNTY
COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICIES**

This agreement is entered into by and among the cities and towns of Pierce County and Pierce County. This agreement is made pursuant to the provisions of the Interlocal Cooperation Act of 1967, Chapter 39.34 RCW. This agreement has been authorized by the legislative body of each jurisdiction pursuant to formal action and evidenced by execution of the signature page of this agreement.

BACKGROUND:

- A. The Pierce County Regional Council (PCRC) was created in 1992 by interlocal agreement among the cities and towns of Pierce County and Pierce County. The organization is charged with responsibilities including: Serving as a local link to the Puget Sound Regional Council, promoting intergovernmental cooperation, facilitating compliance with the coordination and consistency requirements of the Growth Management Act (Chapter 36.70A RCW) and the Regional Transportation Planning Organization (Chapter 47.80 RCW), and developing a consensus among jurisdictions regarding the development and modification of the Countywide Planning Policies.
- B. The Pierce County Countywide Planning Policies provide for amendments to be adopted through amendment of the original interlocal agreement, or by a new interlocal agreement. The Pierce County Countywide Planning Policies may be amended upon the adoption of amendments by the Pierce County Council and ratification by 60 percent of the jurisdictions in Pierce County representing 75 percent of the total Pierce County population as designated by the State Office of Financial Management at the time of the proposed ratification.
- C. A demonstration of ratification shall be by execution of an interlocal agreement or the absence of a legislative action to disapprove a proposed amendment.
- D. A jurisdiction shall be deemed as casting an affirmative vote if it has not taken legislative action to disapprove a proposed amendment within 180 days from the date the Pierce County Council formally authorizes the Pierce County Executive to enter into an interlocal agreement.
- E. The amendment incorporates new policies intended to provide a more coordinated annexation strategy for unincorporated urban areas adjacent to cities and towns.
- F. The Pierce County Regional Council recommended adoption of the proposed amendment on October 17, 2013.



1 | PURPOSE:

2

3 | This agreement is entered into by the cities and towns of Pierce County and Pierce
4 | County for the purpose of ratifying and approving the attached amendment to the Pierce
5 | County Countywide Planning Policies (Attachment).

6

7 | DURATION:

8

9 | This agreement shall become effective upon execution by 60 percent of the jurisdictions
10 | in Pierce County, representing 75 percent of the total Pierce County population as
11 | designated by the State Office of Financial Management at the time of the proposed
12 | ratification. This agreement will remain in effect until subsequently amended or repealed
13 | as provided by the Pierce County Countywide Planning Policies.

14

15 | SEVERABILITY:

16

17 | If any of the provisions of this agreement are held illegal, invalid, or unenforceable, the
18 | remaining provisions shall remain in full force and effect.

19

20 | FILING:

21

22 | A copy of this agreement shall be filed with the Secretary of State, Washington
23 | Department of Commerce, the Pierce County Auditor, and each city and town clerk.

24

25 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this agreement has been executed by each member
26 | jurisdiction as evidenced by the signature page affixed to this agreement.

27



1 **INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT**

2
3 **AMENDMENTS TO THE PIERCE COUNTY**
4 **COUNTYWIDE PLANNING POLICIES**

5
6 Signature Page

7
8 The legislative body of the undersigned jurisdiction has authorized execution of
9 the Interlocal Agreement, Amendments to the Pierce County Countywide Planning
10 Policies.

11
12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF

13
14 This agreement has been executed by _____
15 (Name of City/Town/County)

16
17
18 BY: _____
19 (Mayor/Executive)

20
21
22 DATE: _____

23
24 Approved:

25
26
27 BY: _____
28 (Director/Manager/Chair of County Council)

29
30 Approved as to Form:

31
32
33 BY: _____
34 (City Attorney/Prosecutor)

35
36 Approved:

37
38
39 BY: _____
40 (Pierce County Executive)



**Business of the City Council
City of University Place, WA**

Proposed Council Action:

Authorize the City Manager to award the bid for the Sunset Terrace Restroom Side Sewer Connection Project to R.L. Alia Company in the amount not to exceed Thirty Five Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-Four Dollars and Sixty Cents (\$35,954.60), and execute all necessary contract documents.

Agenda No: 11

Dept. Origin: Public Works, Parks & Recreation

For Agenda of: September 15, 2014

Exhibits:

Concurred by Mayor: _____

Approved by City Manager: _____

Approved as to form by City Atty.: _____

Approved by Finance Director: _____

Approved by Department Head: _____

Expenditure Required: \$35,954.60	Amount Budgeted: \$35,954.60 (Parks CIP Budget)	Appropriation Required: \$0.00
--------------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------

SUMMARY / POLICY ISSUES

The bid opening for the Sunset Terrace Restroom Side Sewer Connection Project was held on September 2, 2014. Four bids were received and summarized in the table below. R.L. Alia Company has submitted the lowest responsive, responsible bid in the amount of \$35,954.60. Under this project, R.L. Alia Company will provide the connection to sewer mainline and install 6" side sewer from mainline to Sunset Terrace Park to provide necessary service to the permanent restroom.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Company	Total Bid
<i>R.L. Alia Company</i>	\$35,954.60
RW Scott	\$51,325.30
NW Cascade	\$51,998.11
Hoffman Construction	\$56,450.40

BOARD OR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION / MOTION

MOVE TO: Authorize the City Manager to award the bid for the Sunset Terrace Restroom Side Sewer Connection Project to R.L. Alia Company in the amount not to exceed Thirty Five Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-Four Dollars and Sixty Cents (\$35,954.60), and execute all necessary contract documents.

Memo

DATE: September 15, 2014
TO: City Council
FROM: Mariza Craig, Executive Director, Community and Economic Development
SUBJECT: 2015 U.S. Open Branding Strategies Study Session

This study session is a follow-up to the July 21, 2014 presentation by Rusty George regarding concepts and strategies for branding University Place in preparation for the 2015 U.S. Open. As requested, staff will provide recommendations for deliverables.

Council will be presented with a resolution that will identify and approve for implementation the deliverables selected from the menu provided by Rusty George. This resolution is attached for your review.

RESOLUTION NO.

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE, WASHINGTON, EXPRESSING INTENT TO EXPEND FUNDS TO BRAND AND CELEBRATE UNIVERSITY PLACE AS THE 'HOST CITY' FOR THE 2015 U.S. OPEN AT CHAMBERS BAY GOLF COURSE.

WHEREAS, Chambers Bay Golf Course in University Place will be hosting the U.S. Open in 2015; and

WHEREAS, this international event will bring attention and focus to University Place; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has expressed a desire to capitalize on the impacts of this significant event; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has directed staff to coordinate and implement a plan that will result in the following outcomes:

- Expand UP's visibility in regional and national markets
- Highlight Chambers Bay as a "must see" destination as part of South Puget Sound tourism itinerary
- Create a long-lasting positive image for the City of University Place as a friendly and welcoming community
- Strengthen a positive identity for the City of University Place as a "host city"
- Increase tourist dollars; and

WHEREAS, staff procured the services of Rusty George Creative to develop concepts and strategies to achieve these results; and

WHEREAS, Rusty George made a presentation to the City Council on July 21, 2014 providing concept and deliverable options; and

WHEREAS, the City Council requested staff recommendation on the options provided for signage and branding, and

WHEREAS, staff has identified the design concept and selected deliverables that will create a positive image for the City of University Place as a friendly and welcoming community and strengthen the City's identity as the "host city" for the 2015 U.S. Open.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF UNIVERSITY PLACE, WASHINGTON, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: Approval of Work Plan. The work plan identified on Attachment A is approved for staff implementation.

Section 2: Activity Expenditures. The activity expenditures identified are approved by the City Council to be made from the 2015 U.S. Open Account.

Section 3. Effective Date. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL ON SEPTEMBER _____, 2014.

Denise McCluskey, Mayor

ATTEST:

Emy Genetia, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Steve Victor, City Attorney

Attachment A

Branding and Signage Concepts Implementation Plan

Working with Rusty George Creative, the City of University Place will move forward to have the following banners and signage developed and created:

<u>DELIVERABLE</u>	<u>UNIT COST</u>	<u>QTY</u>	<u>ITEM TOTAL</u>
Light pole flags	\$46.86	150	\$7,029.00
Light pole flag kit	\$78.31	150	\$11,746.65
Large banners	\$297.00	4	\$1,188.00
Small welcome signs	\$91.25	0	\$0
Blade Banner + Kit	\$200.00	24	\$4,800.00
Intersection Logos	\$17,700.00	2	<u>\$35,400.00</u>
TOTAL			\$60,163.65